## Message

From: DeVoe, Michelle [Michelle.DeVoe@dgslaw.com]

**Sent**: 11/19/2018 11:23:50 PM

To: Livingston, Peggy [Livingston.Peggy@epa.gov]; Temkin, Betsy [Elizabeth.Temkin@dgslaw.com]
CC: Dygowski, Laurel [Dygowski.Laurel@epa.gov]; DeJong, Stephanie [DeJong.Stephanie@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Kiewit - EPA Matter

## Peggy,

Thank you for getting back to us about next steps. At this point, Kiewit has completed its review of approximately 150 yellow highlighted items identified by EPA (over half of the approximately 260 items EPA identified). Kiewit plans to provide EPA with an initial written response addressing the 150 items by early December. Kiewit plans to complete the review of the remaining items, and we will get back to you with a schedule for a supplemental response once this initial response has been submitted. We would then suggest scheduling a meeting with EPA in early January at which Kiewit would cover a representative subset of the yellow highlighted items. The presentation would focus on how Kiewit's corrective actions complied with the storm water permit corrective action timing requirements. We hope this will allow us to reach closure regarding EPA's concerns about Kiewit's storm water compliance record on the I-25 Project.

Please let us know a few dates in January that would work for EPA to meet.

Best, Michelle

## MICHELLE C. DEVOE Of-Counsel

P: 303.892.7386 \* C: 720.839.1972 \* F: 303.893.1379 \* vcard

Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP 1550 17th Street, Suite 500 \* Denver, CO 80202

## A LexMundi Member

From: Livingston, Peggy <Livingston.Peggy@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 3:46 PM

**To:** DeVoe, Michelle <Michelle.DeVoe@dgslaw.com>; Temkin, Betsy <Elizabeth.Temkin@dgslaw.com> **Cc:** Dygowski, Laurel <Dygowski.Laurel@epa.gov>; DeJong, Stephanie <DeJong.Stephanie@epa.gov>

Subject: Kiewit - EPA Matter

Thank you for calling me back on November 8<sup>th</sup>, regarding the "yellow highlight" items, i.e., the instances in which it appears to EPA Kiewit did not comply with the corrective action requirements of its permits.

You suggested December 11<sup>th</sup> and/or 12<sup>th</sup> for Kiewit and EPA to go over Kiewit's response to these instances. I've talked to my clients. Unfortunately, it does not appear everyone is available to meet on those dates. December is already filling up.

Here is what we would like to propose. In the interest of making the best use of your clients' and our time, and moving this case along, we would suggest Kiewit provide EPA a subset or preview of its position on these matters. It would help us to see the types of arguments Kiewit would cite to demonstrate it was, in fact, complying with its permits. That would help us provide feedback to Kiewit in a systematic way.

This does <u>not</u> suggest EPA would not review the complete written submittal you indicated Kiewit would provide next month.

We are especially interested in tying in Kiewit's reasoning to the particular requirements of the storm water permits.

We would also propose extending the tolling agreement to January 31, 2019. In the near future, I hope to email you an agreement with a request for Kiewit to sign it.

Thank you.

Peggy Livingston Senior Enforcement Attorney Region 8, U.S. EPA 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202 303-312-6858 (phone)

This email message (including any attachments), delivered by Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.